arXiv:1209.1762v1 [math.AG] 8 Sep 2012

ON THE γ-FILTRATION OF ORIENTED COHOMOLOGY OF COMPLETE SPIN-FLAGS

CHANGLONG ZHONG

Abstract. We study the characteristic map of oriented cohomology of complete spin-flags and the ideal of invariants of formal group algebras. We show that, if the formal group law determined by the oriented cohomology is even, then at degree 2 and 3, the γ-filtration of complete spin-flags is torsion free. For arbitrary oriented cohomology and for degree at least 2, we provide an annihilator of the torsion part of the γ-filtration.

1. Introduction

Oriented cohomology theories [7] of algebraic varieties over base field k are cohomology theories generalized from the Chow group CH and the Grothendieck group K_0. They behave similarly to the cohomology theories of compact oriented manifolds in algebraic topology. For example, each oriented cohomology theory $\mathtt{h}$ determines an one-dimensional formal group law F over the coefficient ring $R = \mathtt{h}(\operatorname{Spec} k)$.

Given a split simple simply connected linear algebraic group G with the variety of complete flags X, let W be its Weyl group and Λ be the weight lattice. In [3], Calmès-Petrov-Zainoulline construct a formal group algebra $R[[\Lambda]]_F$ and a characteristic map $c_F : R[[\Lambda]]_F \to \mathtt{h}(X)$. These constructions generalize those of Demazure in [4] on the Chow group and the Grothendieck group. They provide combinatorial tools to study oriented cohomology of homogeneous varieties. For instance, the γ-filtration [8] of $\mathtt{h}$ is defined using the characteristic map. The goal of this paper is to study the torsion part of the associated quotient $\gamma^{(d)}\mathtt{h}(X)$ using these tools.

Shown in [3], the ideal $\mathcal{I}_F^W$ of $R[[\Lambda]]_F$ generated by nonconstant invariants is contained in $\ker c_F$, and they coincide when the torsion index of G is invertible in R. This ideal $\mathcal{I}_F^W$ itself has classical meanings. For example, for the additive formal group law F_a, the ideal $\mathcal{I}_F^W$ is generated by the basic polynomials invariants [6]. For the multiplicative formal group law F_m, a theorem of Chevalley says that $\mathcal{I}_F^W$ is generated by the fundamental representations of G.

In this paper, we study the generators of $\mathcal{I}_F^W$ and the index of the embedding of $\mathcal{I}_F^W$ in $\ker c_F$. Together with this, we use the deformation map [8] between formal group algebras to define a map between γ-filtration of oriented cohomologies. We prove the following main result:

`thm:main` 1.1 **Theorem.** *Let G be of type B_n with $n \geq 3$ or of type D_n with $n \geq 4$, and let X be its variety of complete flags. Let $\mathtt{h}$ be an oriented cohomology theory with*

Date: September 11, 2012.

coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$ satisfying the assumption in [3, 13.2]*. Let F be the corresponding formal group law.*

1. *If F is even and $d = 2$ or 3. Then $\gamma^{(d)}\mathtt{h}(X) \cong \gamma^{(d)}\operatorname{CH}(X)$. In particular, $\gamma^{(d)}\mathtt{h}(X)$ is torsion free.*
2. *If $d \geq 4$, then the torsion part of $\gamma^{(d)}\mathtt{h}(X)$ is annihilated by $\zeta_d^2\eta_d^2$. If F is even, this integer can be replaced by η_d^2.*

For the definition of the integers ζ_d and η_d, see 4.5. The term that F is even is defined in Definition 4.1.

For $d = 1$, following the argument in [8, Corollary 8.8], it is easy to see that $\gamma^{(1)}\mathtt{h}(X) \cong \gamma^{(1)}\operatorname{CH}(X)$, so it is always torsion free. So it is only interesting when $d \geq 2$. Note also that the annihilator we obtain depends only on the filtration degree d but not on the rank of G. This generalizes [2, Theorem 6.1] (for Chow groups with G of type B_n or D_n) and [8, Corollary 8.8] (for general oriented cohomology with G of type A_n or C_n, or of type B_n or D_n when $\frac{1}{2} \in R$).

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we recall the definition of the formal group algebra $R[[\Lambda]]_F$ and of the filtration $\gamma^d\mathtt{h}(X) \subseteq \mathtt{h}(X)$. In Section 3 we recall the deformation map between two formal group laws and the definition of certain invariant elements Θ_d of $\mathcal{I}_F^W$. In Section 4 we study the relationship between Θ_d and the formal group law F. In Section 5 we provide an upper bound of the index of the embedding $\mathcal{I}_F^W$ in $\ker c_F$. In Section 6 we use the deformation map and the results in Section 4 and 5 to compare the γ-filtrations of different oriented cohomologies, and prove Theorem 1.1.

Terminology and Notations.

- R: a torsion free unique factorization domain,
- G: a split simple simply connected linear algebraic group of classical Dynkin type B_n with $n \geq 3$ or type D_n with $n \geq 4$,
- X: the variety of complete flags of G,
- W: the Weyl group of G,
- Λ: the group of characters of a maximal torus of G.

Then Λ corresponds to the weight lattice of the root system of G with a basis the set of fundamental weights $\{\omega_1, ..., \omega_n\}$.

2. The formal group algebra and the γ-filtration

In this section we recall the definition of the formal group algebra [3] and of the γ-filtration [8].

Recall that an one dimensional commutative formal group law F over R is a power series

$$F(x, y) = x + y + \sum_{i,j\geq 1} a_{ij}x^iy^j$$

with $a_{ij} \in R$ satisfying the following conditions:

$$F(x, F(y, z)) = F(F(x, y), z), \quad F(x, y) = F(y, x), \quad F(x, 0) = x.$$

2.1 **Example.** The *addivitive formal group law F_a* is defined by the polynomial $F_a(x, y) = x + y$. The *multiplicative formal group law F_m* is defined by the polynomial $F_m(x, y) = x + y - xy$.

2.2 **Definition.** Let F be a formal group law over R. Consider the polynomial ring $R[x_\Lambda]$ in variables x_λ with $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Let

$$\epsilon : R[x_\Lambda] \to R, \quad x_\lambda \mapsto 0$$

be the augmentation map, and let $R[[x_\Lambda]]$ be the $(\ker \epsilon)$-adic completion of $R[x_\Lambda]$. Let $\mathcal{J}_F$ be the closure of the ideal of $R[[x_\Lambda]]$ generated by x_0 and elements of the form $x_{\lambda_1+\lambda_2} - F(x_{\lambda_1}, x_{\lambda_2})$ for all $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda$. Here $x_0 \in R[x_\Lambda]$ is the element determined by the zero element of Λ. The *formal group algebra* $R[[\Lambda]]_F$ is defined to be the quotient

$$R[[\Lambda]]_F = R[[x_\Lambda]]/\mathcal{J}_F.$$

The augmentation map induces a ring homomorphism $\epsilon : R[[\Lambda]]_F \to R$ with kernel $\mathcal{I}_F$. Then we have a filtration of $R[[\Lambda]]_F$:

$$R[[\Lambda]]_F = \mathcal{I}_F^0 \supseteq \mathcal{I}_F^1 \supseteq \mathcal{I}_F^2 \supseteq \cdots$$

and the associated graded ring

$$Gr_R(\Lambda, F) \overset{def}{=} \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{I}_F^i/\mathcal{I}_F^{i+1}.$$

`ex:subquotient` 2.3 **Example.** By [3, Lemma 4.2], $Gr_R(\Lambda, F)$ is isomorphic to $S_R^*(\Lambda)$, the symmetric algebra. The isomorphism maps $\prod x_{\lambda_i}$ to $\prod \lambda_i$.

The Weyl group W acts on $R[[\Lambda]]_F$. Let $\mathcal{I}_F^W$ be the ideal of $R[[\Lambda]]_F$ generated by the subset $R[[\Lambda]]_F^W \cap \mathcal{I}_F$. For $d \geq 0$, let

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathcal{I}_F^{(d)} & = & \mathcal{I}_F^d/\mathcal{I}_F^{d+1}, \\ (R[[\Lambda]]_F^W)^{(d)} & = & (R[[\Lambda]]_F^W \cap \mathcal{I}_F^d)/(R[[\Lambda]]_F^W \cap \mathcal{I}_F^{d+1}), \\ (\mathcal{I}_F^W)^{(d)} & = & (\mathcal{I}_F^W \cap \mathcal{I}_F^d)/(\mathcal{I}_F^W \cap \mathcal{I}_F^{d+1}). \end{array}$$

Then $\mathcal{I}_F^{(d)}$ is freely generated by $x_{\omega_1}^{m_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\omega_n}^{m_n}$ with $\sum m_i = d$.

2.4 An algebraic oriented cohomology theory $\mathtt{h}$ with coefficients in R is a contravariant functor from the category of smooth projective varieties over a field k to the category of commutative unital rings such that $\mathtt{h}(\operatorname{Spec} k) = R$ and characterized by the properties in [7, §1.1]. Roughly speaking, it has push-forward for projective morphisms and satisfies projective bundle property and extended homotopy property.

All the oriented cohomology theories we consider in this paper satisfy the assumption in [3, 13.2]. This assumption says that, for any variety of complete flags X, there exists a R-basis of $\mathtt{h}(X)$ indexed by the Weyl group W. This assumption is satisfied by the Chow group CH, the Grothendieck group K_0 and the algebraic cobordism Ω (when char $k = 0$).

Each oriented cohomology theory determines characteristic classes, that is, it determines a collection of maps

$$c_i^{\mathtt{h}} : K_0(X) \to \mathtt{h}(X), \quad i \geq 1$$

characterized by properties [7, Definition 1.1.2] similar as that of characteristic classes of singular cohomology. In particular, for any two line bundles $\mathcal{L}_1$ and $\mathcal{L}_2$ over X one has

$$c_1^{\mathtt{h}}(\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2) = F(\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2) \in \mathtt{h}(X)$$

for some formal group law F over R. This gives a map from the set of oriented cohomology theories to the set of one dimensional commutative formal group laws. For example, F_a corresponds to the Chow group CH and F_m corresponds to the Grothendieck group K_0.

2.5 **Definition.** [8, p.9] The γ-filtration of $\mathtt{h}(X)$ is defined as follows: $\gamma^d\mathtt{h}(X)$ is defined to be the R-submodule of $\mathtt{h}(X)$ generated by $c_1^{\mathtt{h}}(\mathcal{L}_1)\cdot\ldots\cdot c_1^{\mathtt{h}}(\mathcal{L}_m)$ with $m\geq d$ and $\mathcal{L}_1,\ldots,\mathcal{L}_m$ line bundles over X. Define $\gamma^{(d)}\mathtt{h}(X)=\gamma^d\mathtt{h}(X)/\gamma^{d+1}\mathtt{h}(X)$.

Suppose F is the formal group law corresponding to $\mathtt{h}$. There is a ring homomorphism (cf. [3, §6]) $c_F: R[[\Lambda]]_F\to\mathtt{h}(X)$ defined such that $c_F(x_\lambda)=c_1^{\mathtt{h}}(\mathcal{L}(\lambda))$, where $\mathcal{L}(\lambda)$ is the line bundle over X associated to $\lambda\in\Lambda$. This is called the *characteristic map*. By definition, c_F induces surjections

$$c_F:\mathcal{I}_F^d\twoheadrightarrow\gamma^d\mathtt{h}(X)\qquad\text{and}\qquad c_F^{(d)}:\mathcal{I}_F^{(d)}\twoheadrightarrow\gamma^{(d)}\mathtt{h}(X).$$

`remark:char` 2.6 We summarize the properties of c_F we need:

(1) The map c_F is functorial with respect to ring homomorphisms [3, Proposition 6.5].

(2) By definition, we have $\mathcal{I}_F^W\subseteq\ker c_F$. Let $\mathtt{t}$ be the torsion index of G. If $\frac{1}{\mathtt{t}}\in R$, then $c_F: R[[\Lambda]]_F\to\mathtt{h}(X)$ is surjective with $\ker c_F=\mathcal{I}_F^W$ [3, Theorem 6.9].

Recall that the torsion index $\mathtt{t}$ of a root system of type B_n or type D_n is a power of 2 (see [4] for definition and [9] for computations).

3. The Exponents

In this section we recall the definition of exponents and of some special elements of $R[[\Lambda]]_F^W$ in [8].

For any two formal group laws F and F' over R, there is a ring homomorphism, called the *deformation map* from F to F'

$$\Phi^{F\to F'}: R[[\Lambda]]_F\to R[[\Lambda]]_{F'}.$$

It maps $\mathcal{I}_F^d$ into $\mathcal{I}_{F'}^d$, hence, induces an isomorphism of R-modules

$$\Phi_d^{F\to F'}:\mathcal{I}_F^{(d)}\to\mathcal{I}_{F'}^{(d)}$$

with inverse $\Phi_d^{F'\to F}:\mathcal{I}_{F'}^{(d)}\to\mathcal{I}_F^{(d)}$. A key property is that for any $\prod_{i=1}^d x_{\lambda_i}\in\mathcal{I}_F^{(d)}$, we have

`eq:keyproperty`

$$\Phi_d^{F\to F'}(\prod_{i=1}^d x_{\lambda_i})=\prod_{i=1}^d x_{\lambda_i}\in\mathcal{I}_{F'}^{(d)},\tag{1}$$

so $\Phi_d^{F\to F'}$ is W-equivariant. We then have

$$\Phi_d^{F\to F'}:(\mathcal{I}_F^{(d)})^W\xrightarrow{\cong}(\mathcal{I}_{F'}^{(d)})^W,$$

but in general $\Phi_d^{F\to F'}((\mathcal{I}_F^W)^{(d)})$ is not contained in $(\mathcal{I}_{F'}^W)^{(d)}$. Let $\tau_d^{F\to F'}$ be the g.c.d. of the positive integers N_d such that $N_d\cdot(\mathcal{I}_{F'}^W)^{(d)}\subseteq\Phi_d^{F\to F'}((\mathcal{I}_F^W)^{(d)})$, whose existence is proved in [8, Theorem 5.4]. This integer $\tau_d^{F\to F'}$ is called the d-th *exponent* from F to F' of the action of W.

3.1 Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$ that defines the root system of G. The element e_i belongs to Λ, hence can be written as a linear combination of ω_i's. If G is of type B_n with $n \geq 3$, then $e_i = \omega_i - \omega_{i-1}$ for $2 \leq i \leq n-1$,

$$e_1 = \omega_1, \quad \text{and} \quad e_n = 2\omega_n - \omega_{n-1}.$$

If G is of type D_n with $n \geq 4$, then $e_i = \omega_i - \omega_{i-1}$ for $2 \leq i \leq n-2$,

$$e_1 = \omega_1, \quad e_{n-1} = \omega_n - \omega_{n-1}, \quad \text{and} \quad e_n = \omega_n + \omega_{n-1} - \omega_{n-2}.$$

For $d = 1, ..., n$, define the W-invariant element $\Theta_d \in R[[\Lambda]]_F^W \cap \mathcal{I}_F$ together with a positive integer r_d as follows;

1. If G is of type B_n with $n \geq 3$, define $\Theta_d^B = \sum_{i=1}^n x_{e_i}^d x_{-e_i}^d$. Since the Weyl group W acts on $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ by permutations and by sign changes, we see that $\Theta_d^B \in R[[\Lambda]]_F^W$. Let $r_d = 2$ if d is a power of 2 and $r_d = 1$ otherwise.
2. If G is of type D_n with $n \geq 4$, define $\Theta_d^D = \Theta_d^B$ for $d = 1, ..., n-1$ and $\Theta_n^D = \prod_{i=1}^n (x_{e_i} - x_{-e_i})$. Since W acts by permutations of e_i and by sign changes of even numbers of e_i's, we see that $\Theta_d^D \in R[[\Lambda]]_F^W$. Let $r_n = \frac{1}{2^n}$. For $d = 1, ..., n-1$, let $r_d = 2$ if d is a power of 2, and $r_d = 1$ otherwise.

3.2 **Remark.** By [6, 3.12], if $F = F_a$, then

$$R[[\Lambda]]_a^W = R[[\frac{1}{r_1}\Theta_1, ..., \frac{1}{r_n}\Theta_n]].$$

In this case, r_d is the g.c.d. of the coefficients of $\Theta_d \in \mathbb{Z}[x_{\omega_1}, ..., x_{\omega_n}]$. But this may fail if $F \neq F_a$. In Section 4 we will provide a necessary and sufficient condition for this to hold.

4. THE INVARIANTS

In this section we prove Lemma 4.3 and 4.6 concerning the invariants Θ_d's. They improve the results (Lemmas 8.3, 8.4, 8.5 and Theorem 8.6) of [8]. More precisely, we provide a necessary condition for them to hold. In this section we assume that the coefficient ring $R = \mathbb{Z}$.

First, we prove a lemma of formal group laws. Let

$$F(x, y) = x + y + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{mm} x^m y^m + \sum_{l=3} \sum_{j+k=l, j \neq k} a_{jk}(x^j y^k + x^k y^j).$$

Let $\imath_F(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[[\Lambda]]_F$ be the inverse of $x \in \mathbb{Z}[[\Lambda]]_F$, and let $O(s)$ be the sum of terms of degree $\geq s$.

`def:even`

4.1 **Definition.** We say that F is *even* if

$$F(x, y) \equiv x + y \mod 2.$$

`lemma:inverse`

4.2 **Lemma.** *If the formal group law F satisfies that $2|a_{mm}$ for $1 \leq m < s$, then*

$$\imath_F(x) \equiv x + a_{ss} x^{2s} + O(2s+1) \mod 2.$$

In particular, if $2|a_{mm}$ for all m, then $\imath_F(x) \equiv x \mod 2$.

Proof. In general, we have

$$\imath_F(x) = -x + a_{11} x^2 + O(3),$$

so the lemma holds for $s = 1$.

We proceed by induction on s. Assume it holds for $s = k-1$, i.e., if $2|a_{mm}$ for $m < k-1$, then

$$\imath_F(x) \equiv x + a_{k-1,k-1}x^{2k-2} + b_0x^{2k-1} + b_1x^{2k} + O(2k+1) \mod 2.$$

Now assume $s = k$, i.e., assume in addition that $2|a_{k-1,k-1}$. By the induction assumption,

$$\imath_F(x) \equiv x + b_0x^{2k-1} + b_1x^{2k} + O(2k+1) \mod 2.$$

It suffices to show that $b_0 \equiv 0 \mod 2$ and $b_1 \equiv a_{kk} \mod 2$. Modulo 2 and $O(2k+1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= F(x, \imath_F(x)) \\ &= x + (x + b_0x^{2k-1} + b_1x^{2k}) + a_{kk}x^k(x + b_0x^{2k-1} + b_1x^{2k})^k \\ &\quad + \sum_{l=3}^{2k} \sum_{\substack{i<j, \\ i+j=l}} a_{ij}\left(x^i(x + b_0x^{2k-1} + b_1x^{2k})^j + x^j(x + b_0x^{2k-1} + b_1x^{2k})^i\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, modulo $O(2k+1)$, we have $x^k(x + b_0x^{2k-1} + b_1x^{2k})^k = x^{2k}$ and for each $i + j \geq 3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x^i(x + b_0x^{2k-1} + b_1x^{2k})^j &= x^i \sum_{j_1+j_2+j_3=j} \binom{j}{j_1, j_2, j_3} (x)^{j_1}(b_0x^{2k-1})^{j_2}(b_1x^{2k})^{j_3} \\ &= x^{i+j}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, modulo 2 and $O(2k+1)$, we have

$$0 = F(x, \imath_F(x)) = b_0x^{2k-1} + b_1x^{2k} + a_{kk}x^{2k}.$$

Hence, $b_0 \equiv 0 \mod 2$ and $b_1 \equiv a_{kk} \mod 2$. □

`lemma:key1`

4.3 **Lemma.** (1) *Let G be of type B_n with $n \geq 3$ (resp. of type D_n with $n \geq 4$) and let $d \leq n$ (resp. $d < n$) be a power of 2, then $\frac{\Theta_d}{2} \in \mathcal{I}_F$ if and only if F is even.*

(2) *Let G be of type D_n, then $\frac{\Theta_n}{2^n} \in \mathcal{I}_F$ if and only if $2|a_{mm}$ for all $m \geq 1$.*

Proof. The "if" part was proved in [8], so we prove the "only if" part.

(1) Suppose G is of type B_n. Let $\nu_0 = 0$, $\nu_i = e_1 + ... + e_i = \omega_i$ for $i = 1, ..., n-1$ and $\nu_n = 2\omega_n$. We show that if F is not even, then $2 \nmid \Theta_d^B$. Since F is not even, then $2 \nmid a_{ss}$ for some s or $2 \nmid a_{jk}$ for some $j \neq k$.

First, assume that s is the smallest integer such that $2 \nmid a_{ss}$. For any $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda$, let $x_{\lambda_1-\lambda_2} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k(x_{\lambda_1}, x_{\lambda_2})$, where $f_k(x, y)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree k in $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]$. For instance, $f_1(x, y) = x - y$. Since the binomial formula satisfies $(z_1 + z_2)^d \equiv z_1^d + z_2^d \mod 2$, by Lemma 4.2, modulo 2 in $\mathcal{I}_F/\mathcal{I}_F^{2td+2d}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta_d^B &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{e_i}^d x_{-e_i}^d = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{e_i}^d (x_{e_i} + a_{ss}x_{e_i}^{2s})^d \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{e_i}^d (x_{e_i}^d + a_{ss}^d x_{e_i}^{2sd}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{e_i}^{2d} + a_{ss}^d x_{e_i}^{2sd+d}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Big[(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k(x_{\nu_i}, x_{\nu_{i-1}}))^{2d} + a_{ss}^d (\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k(x_{\nu_i}, x_{\nu_{i-1}}))^{2sd+d} \Big] \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Big[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k(x_{\nu_i}, x_{\nu_{i-1}})^{2d} + a_{ss}^d (\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k(x_{\nu_i}, x_{\nu_{i-1}}))^{2sd+d} \Big].
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that $f_k(x_{\nu_i}, x_{\nu_{i-1}})^{2d}$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $2kd$. Therefore, the degree $(2s+1)d$ term is given by

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ss}^d f_1(x_{\nu_i}, x_{\nu_{i-1}})^{2sd+d} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ss}^d (x_{\nu_i} - x_{\nu_{i-1}})^{2sd+d}.$$

Since $2ds + d$ is not a power of 2, by Lucas Theorem, one of the coefficients of the monomials of $(x_{\nu_i} - x_{\nu_{i-1}})^{2ds+d}$ is odd. Since $2 \nmid a_{ss}$, so we have $2 \nmid \Theta_d^B$ in $\mathcal{I}_F/\mathcal{I}_F^{2sd+d}$, which implies that $2 \nmid \Theta_d^B$ in $\mathcal{I}_F$.

Suppose that $2 | a_{ss}$ for all $s \geq 1$ and l_0 is the smallest integer such that $2 \nmid a_{j_0, l_0 - j_0}$ for some $j_0 < l_0$ and $j_0 \neq l_0 - j_0$. Then we can write

$$F(x,y) \equiv x + y + \sum_{l=l_0} \sum_{j \neq k, j+k=l} a_{jk}(x^j y^k + x^k y^j) \mod 2.$$

By Lemma 4.2, $x_{-e_i} \equiv x_{e_i} \mod 2$. So $\Theta_d^B \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_{e_i}^{2d} \mod 2$ in $\mathcal{I}_F$. Modulo 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta_d^B &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{e_i}^{2d} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{\nu_i - \nu_{i-1}}^{2d} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n} F(x_{\nu_i}, \imath_F(x_{\nu_{i-1}}))^{2d} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} F(x_{\nu_i}, x_{\nu_{i-1}})^{2d} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(x_{\nu_i} + x_{\nu_{i-1}} + \sum_{l=l_0} \sum_{j \neq k, j+k=l} a_{jk}(x_{\nu_i}^j x_{\nu_{i-1}}^k + x_{\nu_i}^k x_{\nu_{i-1}}^j) \right)^{2d} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(x_{\nu_i}^{2d} + x_{\nu_{i-1}}^{2d} + \sum_{l=l_0} \sum_{j \neq k, j+k=l} a_{jk}^{2d}(x_{\nu_i}^{2jd} x_{\nu_{i-1}}^{2kd} + x_{\nu_i}^{2kd} x_{\nu_{i-1}}^{2jd}) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

The coefficient of $x_{\nu_1}^{2j_0 d} x_{\nu_2}^{2d(l_0 - j_0)} = x_{\omega_1}^{2j_0 d} x_{\omega_2}^{2d(l_0 - j_0)}$ is $a_{j_0, l_0 - j_0}^{2d}$, which is not divisible by 2 by assumption. So $2 \nmid \Theta_d^B$.

If the root system is of type D_n and $d < n$, the proof is similar.

(2) If $2 \nmid a_{mm}$ for some m, let s be the smallest such integer. Then $x_{-e_i} \equiv x_{e_i} + a_{ss}x_{e_i}^{2s} \mod 2$, so $x_{e_i} - x_{-e_i} + a_{ss}x_{e_i}^{2s} \equiv 0 \mod 2$. Let $\varrho_i = x_{e_i} - x_{-e_i}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\equiv \prod_{i=1}^{n}(\varrho_i + a_{ss}x_{e_i}^{2s}) \\ &\equiv \prod_{i=1}^{n}\varrho_i + a_{ss}\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{e_i}^{2s}S_{n-1}(\{\varrho_j\}_{j\neq i}) + O(n+2s) \mod 2^n. \end{aligned}$$

Here S_{n-1} is the elementary symmetric polynomial of degree $n-1$. We compute $x_{e_1}^{2s}S_{n-1}(\{\varrho_j\}_{j\neq 1})$. Modulo $\mathcal{I}_F^{n+2s}$, it is reduced to the additive case, in which case $\varrho_j = 2x_{e_j}$. Hence,

$$x_{e_1}^{2s}S_{n-1}(\{\varrho_j\}_{j\neq 1}) = x_{e_1}^{2s}S_{n-1}(\{2x_{e_j}\}_{j\neq 1}) = 2^{n-1}\cdot x_{e_1}^{2s}S_{n-1}(\{x_{e_j}\}_{j\neq 1}).$$

We see that the g.c.d. of the coefficients of $x_{e_1}^{2s}S_{n-1}(\{\varrho_j\}_{j\neq 1})$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x_{\omega_1},...,x_{\omega_n}]$ is 2^{n-1}. Hence,

$$a_{ss}\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{e_i}^{2s}S_{n-1}(\{\varrho_j\}_{j\neq i}) \not\equiv 0 \mod 2^n.$$

So $\Theta_n^D = \prod_{i=1}^{n}\varrho_i$ is not divisible by 2^n in $\mathcal{I}_F/\mathcal{I}_F^{n+2s}$, so $\frac{1}{2^n}\Theta_n^D \notin \mathcal{I}_F$.

□

4.4 **Remark.** Let G be of type B_n with $n \geq 3$ (resp. of type D_n with $n \geq 4$) and let $d \leq n$ (resp. $d < n$) be a power of 2. The proof of Lemma 4.3.(1) shows that the expression Θ_d is congruent to some $2g$ modulo $\mathcal{I}_F^{2d+1}$ for some $g \in \mathcal{I}_F$, and that $\frac{\Theta_d}{2} \in (\mathcal{I}_F^W)^{(2d)}$ if and only if F is even.

4.5 If $f \in \mathcal{I}_F^d \backslash \mathcal{I}_F^{d+1}$, we say that $\deg f = d$. Then for $d = 1, ..., n$, we have $\deg \Theta_d^B = 2d$. For the type D_n, $\deg \Theta_d^D = 2d$ for $d = 1, ..., n-1$ and $\deg \Theta_n^D = n$. Given a n-tuple $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n)$ with $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, let $r_\alpha = \prod_{i=1}^{n} r_i^{\alpha_i}$, $\Theta(\alpha) = \prod_{i=1}^{n}\Theta_i^{\alpha_i}$ and
`def:number` $|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^{n}\alpha_i \cdot \deg \Theta_i$.

Let $\nu_2(m)$ be the 2-adic valuation of m. We define a collection of integers $\{\zeta_d, \eta_d\}_{d\geq 1}$ which depends on the Dynkin type of G.

1. If G is of type B_n with $n \geq 3$, let $\zeta_d = 2^{[d/2]}$ for $d \geq 1$. Let $\eta_1 = 1$, $\eta_2 = \eta_3 = 2$, $\eta_4 = 4$, $\eta_d = 2^{d+\nu_2([d_0/2]!)}$ for $d \geq 5$ with $d_0 \overset{def}{=} \min\{d, 2n\}$.
2. If G is of type $D_n (n \geq 4)$, let $\zeta_d = 2^{[d/2]}$ for $1 \leq d < n$ and $\zeta_d = 2^{[d/n]n}$ for $d \geq n$. If $n = 4$, let $\eta_1 = 1$, $\eta_2 = \eta_3 = 2$, $\eta_d = 2^{d+\nu_2([d_1/2]!)}$ for $d \geq 4$ with $d_1 \overset{def}{=} \min\{d, 2n-2\}$. If $n \geq 5$, let $\eta_4 = 4$ and for other d, define η_d the same way as for D_4.

`lemma:invariant` 4.6 **Lemma.** *Let G be of type B_n with $n \geq 3$ or of type D_n with $n \geq 4$ and let $d \geq 2$.*

(1) *We have*

$$\zeta_d \cdot (\mathbb{Z}[[\Lambda]]_F^W)^{(d)} \subseteq \langle \Theta(\alpha) \rangle_{|\alpha|=d} \subseteq (\mathbb{Z}[[\Lambda]]_F^W)^{(d)}.$$

Moreover, $(\mathbb{Z}[[\Lambda]]_F^W)^{(d)} = \langle \frac{1}{r_\alpha}\Theta(\alpha) \rangle_{|\alpha|=d}$ *if and only if F is even.*

(2) *We have*

$$\zeta^d \cdot (\mathcal{I}_F^W)^{(d)} \subseteq \{\sum_{i=1} g_i\Theta_i | g_i \in \mathcal{I}_F^{(d-\deg \Theta_i)}\} \subseteq (\mathcal{I}_F^W)^{(d)}.$$

Moreover,

$$(\mathcal{I}_F^W)^{(d)}=\{\sum_{i=1}\frac{g_i}{r_i}\Theta_i|g_i\in\mathcal{I}_F^{(d-\deg\Theta_i)}\}$$

if and only if F is even.

(3) *For all $d\geq 2$, we have $\tau_d^{F\to F'}|\zeta_d$. Moreover, if F' is even, then $\tau_d^{F\to F'}=1$ if and only if F is even.*

Proof. (1) The first statement and the "if" part of the second statement are proved in [8, Lemma 8.4]. For the "only if" part of the second statement, note that

$$(\mathbb{Z}[[\Lambda]]_F^W)^{(d)}=\langle\frac{1}{r_i}\Theta_i\rangle_{|\alpha|=d}$$

implies that $\frac{1}{2}\Theta_2\in\mathcal{I}_F$. By Lemma 4.3, F is even.

(2) The proof is similar as that of (1).

(3) The first statement and the "if" part of the second statement are proved in [8, Theorem 8.6]. Now for the "only if" part of the second statement, since F' is even, so $\frac{1}{r_i}\Theta_i\in\mathcal{I}_{F'}^W$ for all Θ_i. So $\tau_d^{F\to F'}=1$ implies that $\frac{1}{r_i}\Theta_i\in\Phi_d^{F\to F'}(\mathcal{I}_F^W)$ for all $\deg\Theta_i\leq d$. Hence, $\frac{1}{2}\Theta_2\in\mathcal{I}_F^W$, which implies that F is even. $\square$

`rem:invariant` 4.7 **Remark.** Indeed, in Lemma 4.6, if one replaces the condition that F is even by the condition that $\frac{1}{2}\in R$, then we have

$$(R[[\Lambda]]_F^W)^{(d)}=\langle\Theta(\alpha)\rangle_{|\alpha|=d},\quad(\mathcal{I}_F^W)^{(d)}=\{\sum_{i=1}g_i\Theta_i|g_i\in\mathcal{I}_F^{(d-\deg\Theta_i)}\},$$

and $\tau_d^{F\to F'}=1$ for $d\geq 1$ (cf. [8, Lemma 8.4, 8.5, Theorem 8.6]). We will use this fact in the next section.

`sec:CompInv`

5. THE KERNEL OF THE CHARACTERISTIC MAP

In the present section we provide an upper bound of the index of embedding $(\mathcal{I}_F^W)^{(d)}$ in $\ker c_F^{(d)}$, which will be used in Section 6 to prove the main result. In this section, assume that R is a torsion free UFD. If $\frac{1}{2}\in R$, then by 2.6, $(\mathcal{I}_F^W)^{(d)}=\ker c_F^{(d)}$. So it suffices to consider the case when $\frac{1}{2}\notin R$.

Let $\tilde{R}=R[\frac{1}{2}]$ and let $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_F$ be the corresponding augmentation ideal. By [3, Proposition 6.5], there is a commutative diagram

(2)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \ker c_F^{(d)} \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{I}_F^{(d)} \arrow[r, two heads, "c_F^{(d)}"] \arrow[d] & \gamma^{(d)}\mathtt{h}(X) \arrow[d] \\
(\tilde{\mathcal{I}_F}^W)^{(d)} \arrow[r, equal] & \ker \tilde{c}_F^{(d)} \arrow[r, hook] & \tilde{\mathcal{I}_F}^{(d)} \arrow[r, two heads, "\tilde{c}_F^{(d)}"] & \gamma^{(d)}\tilde{\mathtt{h}}(X).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here $c_F^{(d)}$ and $\tilde{c}_F^{(d)}$ are the characteristic maps on the corresponding subquotients. For any $y\in\ker c_F^{(d)}$ we have $y\in\ker\tilde{c}_F^{(d)}=(\tilde{\mathcal{I}_F}^W)^{(d)}$, so by Remark 4.7,

`eq:kerinv` (3)
$$y=\sum_i g_i\Theta_i,\quad g_i\in\tilde{\mathcal{I}_F}^{(d-\deg\Theta_i)}.$$

The following two lemmas generalize [5, §1B], [1, Lemma 6.4] and [2, Proposition 4.5] from F_a to general F. Recall that the integer η_d was defined in 4.5.

`lemma:kerinvspec`

5.1 **Lemma.** (1) *Let G be of type B_n with $n \geq 3$ or of type D_n with $n \geq 4$ and let $d = 2$ or 3. Then $2 \cdot \ker c_F^{(d)} \subseteq (\mathcal{I}_F^W)^{(d)}$. If F is even, then $\ker c_F^{(d)} = (\mathcal{I}_F^W)^{(d)}$.*

(2) *Let G be of type B_n with $n \geq 3$ or of type D_n with $n \geq 5$. Let $d = 4$. We have $4 \cdot \ker c_F^{(d)} \subseteq (\mathcal{I}_F^W)^{(d)}$. If F is even, then $2 \cdot \ker c_F^{(d)} \subseteq (\mathcal{I}_F^W)^{(d)}$.*

Proof. (1) Suppose that G is of type B_n. For any $y \in \ker c_F^{(2)}$, by Equation (3), we have

$$y = u \cdot \Theta_1 \in (\tilde{R}[[\Lambda]]_F^W)^{(2)}$$

for some $u \in \tilde{R}$. That is, $u \cdot \Theta_1 = y$ in $\mathcal{I}_F^{(2)}$, so both sides are polynomials of degree 2 in $R[x_{\omega_1}, ..., x_{\omega_n}]$. Note that

$$\Theta_1 = 2\sum_{i=1}^{n-2}(x_{\omega_i}^2 - x_{\omega_i}x_{\omega_{i+1}}) + 2x_{\omega_{n-1}}^2 - 4x_{\omega_{n-1}}x_{\omega_n} + 4x_{\omega_n}^2.$$

The g.c.d. of the coefficients of $\Theta_1 \in (\mathcal{I}_F^W)^{(2)}$ is 2, so $2u \in R$. Therefore, $2y = 2u \cdot \Theta_1 \in (\mathcal{I}_F^W)^{(2)}$.

If F is even, then by Lemma 4.3, $\frac{\Theta_1}{2} \in R[[\Lambda]]_F^W$, so $y = \frac{\Theta_1}{2} \cdot 2u \in (\mathcal{I}_F^W)^{(2)}$.

Now let $d = 3$. For any $y \in \ker c_F^{(3)}$, by Equation (3), we have

`eq:deg3`

$$y = \Theta_1 \cdot f_1 \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}_F}^{(3)} \tag{4}$$

for some $f_1 \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}_F}^{(1)}$. We show that $2f_1 \in \mathcal{I}_F^{(1)}$. Suppose that $f_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_{\omega_i}$ with $a_i \in \tilde{R}$. Write

$$y = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{iii}x_{\omega_i}^3 + \sum_{i<j}(a_{iij}x_{\omega_i}^2 x_{\omega_j} + a_{ijj}x_{\omega_i}x_{\omega_j}^2) + \sum_{i<j<k} a_{ijk}x_{\omega_i}x_{\omega_j}x_{\omega_k} \in \mathcal{I}_F^{(3)}$$

with $a_{iii}, a_{ijj}, a_{iij}, a_{ijk} \in R$. For any $i < n$, comparing the coefficients of $x_{\omega_i}^3$ in Equation (4), we see that $2a_i = a_{iii} \in R$. Comparing the coefficients of $x_{\omega_1}^2 x_{\omega_n}$, we have $2a_n = a_{11n} \in R$. Hence, $2f_1 \in \mathcal{I}_F^{(1)}$ and $2y = 2f_1\Theta_1 \in (\mathcal{I}_F^W)^{(3)}$.

If F is even, then by Lemma 4.3, $\frac{\Theta_1}{2} \in R[[\Lambda]]_F^W$, so $y = \frac{\Theta_1}{2} \cdot 2f_1 \in (\mathcal{I}_F^W)^{(3)}$.

If G is of type D_n with $n \geq 4$, the proof is similar, since the generators involved in this case is Θ_1.

(2) Let G be of type B_n. For $y \in \ker c_F^{(4)}$, by Equation (3),

$$y = f_0\Theta_2 + f_2\Theta_1$$

for some $f_i \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}_F}^{(i)}$. We claim that the smallest integer b such that $2^b f_i \in \mathcal{I}_F^{(i)}$ satisfies $b \leq 2$. If not, then $b \geq 3$. It implies that

$$2^b f_0\Theta_2 + 2^b f_2\Theta_1 = 2^b y \equiv 0 \mod 8$$

with $2^b f_i \in \mathcal{I}_F^{(i)}$. Since $\frac{\Theta_1}{2} \in \mathcal{I}_F^{(2)}$ and $\frac{\Theta_2}{2} \in \mathcal{I}_F^{(4)}$, so in $\mathcal{I}_F^{(4)}$, we have

$$2^b f_0\frac{\Theta_2}{2} + 2^b f_2\frac{\Theta_1}{2} \equiv 0 \mod 4.$$

By Example 2.3, $\mathcal{I}_F^{(4)} \cong \mathcal{I}_a^{(4)}$. By the proof of [1, Lemma 6.4], this implies that *g.c.d.*$\{2^b f_0, 2^b f_2\} = 2$, therefore, $2^{b-1}f_i \in \mathcal{I}_F^{(i)}$. This is a contradiction, hence, $b \leq 2$ and $4y = 4f_0\Theta_2 + 4f_2\Theta_1 \in (\mathcal{I}_F^W)^{(4)}$.

If F is even, then $\frac{\Theta_1}{2}, \frac{\Theta_2}{2} \in \mathcal{I}_F$, therefore, $2y = 4f_0 \cdot \frac{\Theta_2}{2} + 4f_2\frac{\Theta_1}{2} \in (\mathcal{I}_F^W)^{(4)}$.

If G is of type D_n with $n \geq 5$, the proof is similar, since the only generators of $(R[[\Lambda]]_F^W)^{(4)}$ are Θ_1 and Θ_2.

$\square$

`lemma:kerinvgen` 5.2 **Lemma.** *Let G be of type B_n with $n \geq 3$ or of type D_n with $n \geq 4$, then $\eta_d \cdot \ker c_F^{(d)} \subseteq (\mathcal{I}_F^W)^{(d)}$.*

Proof. Let G be of type B_n. The case of type D_n is similar. If $d \leq 4$, it is proved in Lemma 5.1. So let $d \geq 5$. For any $y \in \ker c_F^{(d)}$, by Equation (3),

$$y = \sum_{i=1}^{\min\{n,[d/2]\}} f_{d-2i}\Theta_i \in \mathcal{I}_F^{(d)}, \quad f_{d-2i} \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}_F}^{(d-2i)}.$$

Suppose b is the smallest integer such that y can be expressed as such an equation with $2^b f_{d-2i} \in \mathcal{I}_F^{(d-2i)}$. We claim that $2^b | \eta_d$. If not, then $2\eta_d | 2^b$. Then in $\mathcal{I}_F^{(d)}$, we have

$$2^b y = \sum_{i=1}^{\min\{n,[d/2]\}} 2^b f_{d-2i}\Theta_i \in \mathcal{I}_F^{(d)}, \quad 2^b f_{d-2i} \in \mathcal{I}_F^{(d-2i)}.$$

By Example 2.3, $\mathcal{I}_F^{(d)} \cong \mathcal{I}_a^{(d)}$. By the proof of [2, Proposition 4.5], we know that there exists $g_{d-2i} \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}_F}^{(d-2i)}$ such that

$$y = \sum_{i=1}^{\min\{n,[d/2]\}} g_{d-2i}\Theta_i \in \mathcal{I}_F^{(d)}$$

with $2^{b-1} g_{d-2i} \in \mathcal{I}_F^{(d-2i)}$. This contradicts the minimality assumption of b. Therefore, $2^b | \eta_d$ and $\eta_d y = \sum \eta_d f_{d-2i}\Theta_i \in (\mathcal{I}_F^W)^{(d)}$.

$\square$

6. Comparison of γ-filtrations

In this section we apply the computation in Section 4 and 5 to compare γ-filtrations of different oriented cohomology theories, and prove the main result of this paper. The coefficient ring in this section is $\mathbb{Z}$.

`lemma:main` 6.1 **Lemma.** *Let G be of type B_n with $n \geq 3$ or of type D_n with $n \geq 4$. Let $\mathtt{h}$ and $\mathtt{h}'$ be two oriented cohomology theories satisfying the assumption in* [3, 13.2]. *Let F and F' be the corresponding formal group laws, respectively. Then:*

1. *The map $\zeta_d \eta_d \cdot \Phi_d^{F \to F'} : \mathcal{I}_F^{(d)} \to \mathcal{I}_{F'}^{(d)}$ induces a map $\gamma^{(d)}\mathtt{h}(X) \to \gamma^{(d)}\mathtt{h}'(X)$.*
2. *If F and F' are even, then $\eta_d \cdot \Phi_d^{F \to F'}$ induces such a map.*

Proof. (1) Suppose G is of type B_n. The case of type D_n can be proved similarly. We have the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\mathcal{I}_F^W)^{(d)} \arrow[r, hook] & \ker c_F^{(d)} \arrow[r, hook] & \mathcal{I}_F^{(d)} \arrow[r, two heads, "c_F^{(d)}"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_d^{F \to F'}", "\cong"'] & \gamma^{(d)}\mathtt{h}(X) \\
(\mathcal{I}_{F'})^{(d)} \arrow[r, hook] & \ker c_{F'}^{(d)} \arrow[r, hook] & \mathcal{I}_{F'}^{(d)} \arrow[r, two heads, "c_{F'}^{(d)}"] & \gamma^{(d)}\mathtt{h}'(X).
\end{tikzcd}$$

If suffices to show that $\zeta_d\eta_d \cdot \Phi_d^{F\to F'}$ maps $\ker c_F^{(d)}$ into $\ker c_{F'}^{(d)}$. For any $y \in \ker c_F^{(d)}$, by Lemma 5.2, $\eta_d \cdot y \in (\mathcal{I}_F^W)^{(d)}$. By Lemma 4.6,

$$\zeta_d\eta_d \cdot y = \sum_{i=1}^{\min\{[d/2],n\}} g_i\Theta_i$$

for some $g_i \in \mathcal{I}_F^{(d-2i)}$. By Equation (1), we have

$$\Phi_d^{F\to F'}(\zeta_d\eta_d \cdot y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\min\{[d/2],n\}} g_i\Theta_i \in (\mathcal{I}_{F'}^W)^{(d)} \subseteq \ker c_{F'}^{(d)}.$$

Therefore, $\zeta_d\eta_d \cdot \Phi_d^{F\to F'}$ induces a map $\gamma^{(d)}\mathtt{h}(X) \to \gamma^{(d)}\mathtt{h}'(X)$.

(2) If F and F' are even, then by Lemma 4.6,both F and F' satisfy that

$$(\mathcal{I}^W)^{(d)} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\min\{[d/2],n\}} g_i\frac{\Theta_i}{r_i} \in (\mathcal{I}^W)^{(d)} \right\}$$

and $\tau_d^{F\to F'} = 1$. So $\Phi_d^{F\to F'}((\mathcal{I}_F^W)^{(d)}) = (\mathcal{I}_{F'}^W)^{(d)}$. For any $y \in \ker c_F^{(d)}$, by Lemma 5.2, $\eta_d \cdot y \in (\mathcal{I}_F^W)^{(d)}$. Hence,

$$\Phi_d^{F\to F'}(\eta_d \cdot y) \in (\mathcal{I}_{F'}^W)^{(d)} \subseteq \ker c_{F'}^{(d)}.$$

Therefore, $\eta_d \cdot \Phi_d^{F\to F'}$ induces a map $\gamma^{(d)}\mathtt{h}(X) \to \gamma^{(d)}\mathtt{h}'(X)$.

□

We are now ready to prove the main result of this paper.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. (1) Let G be of type B_n and let $d = 2$ or 3. Since F and F_a are even, so by Lemma 5.1,

$$(\mathcal{I}_F^W)^{(d)} = \ker c_F^{(d)} \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathcal{I}_a^W)^{(d)} = \ker c_a^{(d)}.$$

By Lemma 4.6, we know that the exponent $\tau_d^{F\to F_a} = 1$, hence $\Phi_d^{F\to F_a}((\mathcal{I}_F^W)^{(d)}) = (\mathcal{I}_a^W)^{(d)}$. Therefore, the isomorphism $\Phi_d^{F\to F_a}$ restricted to $\ker c_F^{(d)}$ induces isomorphism

$$\ker c_F^{(d)} \cong \ker c_a^{(d)},$$

hence, it induces isomorphism

$$\gamma^{(d)}\mathtt{h}(X) \cong \gamma^{(d)}\operatorname{CH}(X).$$

Since $\gamma^{(d)}\operatorname{CH}(X) \subseteq \operatorname{CH}^d(X)$ is torsion free, so is $\gamma^{(d)}\mathtt{h}(X)$.

The proof of type D_n is similar.

(2) By Lemma 6.1, there is a commutative diagram

`diagram:char`

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{I}_F^{(d)} \arrow[r, two heads, "c_F^{(d)}"] \arrow[d, "\zeta_d\eta_d\Phi_d^{F\to F_a}"'] & \gamma^{(d)}\mathtt{h}(X) \arrow[d] \\
\mathcal{I}_a^{(d)} \arrow[r, two heads, "c_a^{(d)}"] & \gamma^{(d)}\operatorname{CH}(X).
\end{tikzcd} \tag{5}$$

Given any torsion element $u \in \gamma^{(d)}\mathtt{h}(X)$, since $\gamma^{(d)}\operatorname{CH}(X) \subseteq \operatorname{CH}^d(X)$ is torsion free, so u is mapped to 0 in $\gamma^{(d)}\operatorname{CH}(X)$. Lift u to an element $v \in \mathcal{I}_F^{(d)}$, and look at its image $\zeta_d\eta_d\Phi_d^{F\to F_a}(v)$. Then $\zeta_d\eta_d\Phi_d^{F\to F'}(v)\in\ker c_a^{(d)}$, hence by Lemma 5.2, $\eta_d\zeta_d\eta_d\Phi_d^{F\to F_a}(v)\in(\mathcal{I}_a^W)^{(d)}$, and by Lemma 4.6,

$$\zeta_d\eta_d\zeta_d\eta_d\Phi_d^{F\to F_a}(v)\in\Phi_d^{F\to F_a}((\mathcal{I}_F^W)^{(d)}).$$

Applying $(\Phi_d^{F\to F_a})^{-1}$, we see that $\zeta_d^2\eta_d^2 v\in(\mathcal{I}_F^W)^{(d)}\subseteq\ker c_F^{(d)}$. Hence, $\zeta_d^2\eta_d^2\cdot u = c_F^{(d)}(\zeta_d^2\eta_d^2\cdot v)=0$.

If F is even, then $\tau_d^{F\to F_a}=1$ and the left vertical map in (5) can be replaced by $\eta_d\Phi_d^{F\to F_a}$. By repeating the argument above, we get the conclusion. $\square$

Acknowledgments. The author is supported by the Fields Institute and NSERC grant of Kirill Zainoulline. The author would like to thank Kirill Zainoulline for suggesting this topic. He would also like to thank José Malagón-López for helpful discussions, and to Shiu-chun Wong for suggestions in the writing of this paper.

REFERENCES

[1] Sanghoon Baek, Erhard Neher, and Kirill Zainoulline, *Basic polynomial invariants, fundamental representations and the Chern class map*, Documenta Math. 17: 135-150, 2012.

[2] Sanghoon Baek, Kirill Zainoulline, and Changlong Zhong, *On the torsion of Chow groups of twisted spin-flags*, arXiv: 1204.4663 v1, 2012.

[3] Baptiste Calmès, Victor Petrov, and Kirill Zainoulline, *Invariants, torsion indices and oriented cohomology of complete flags*, arXiv: 1007.3482 v2, 2010.

[4] Michel Demazure, *Invariants symétriques entiers des groupes de Weyl et torsion*, Invent. Math. 21:287-301, 1973.

[5] Skip Garibaldi and Kirill Zainoulline, *The gamma-filtration and the Rost invariant*, arXiv: 1007.3482 v2, 2010.

[6] James E. Humphreys, *Reflection groups and Coxeter groups*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Math. no. 29, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1990.

[7] Marc Levine and Fabien Morel, *Algebraic cobordism*, Springer Monographs in Math., Springer-Verlag, 2007.

[8] José Malagón-López, Kirill Zainoulline, and Changlong Zhong, *Invariants, exponents and formal group laws*, arXiv: 1207.1880 v1, 2012.

[9] Burt Totaro, *The torsion index of spin groups*, Duke Math. J. 129: 249-290, 2005.

CHANGLONG ZHONG, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF OTTAWA
E-mail address: `zhongusc@gmail.com`